

22. Impact on landscape character & Impact on visual

It is our opinion that the LUC response to the comments raised by **Nicholas Pearson Associates** (NPA) is totally inadequate, as many of the issues raised have not been responded to. Additionally, the issues raised by the **Gillespies** report included as part of the SBFWF Objection (Appendix 1) dated September 2014 have not been addressed.

23. Impact on residential amenity - Noise

The letter from Hoare Lea Acoustics (HLA) dated 22nd April 2015 does not adequately explain how the three properties that noise monitoring was undertaken at were selected. 1 School Lane had issues with nearby dryer noise, 56 High Street is one of the closest properties to David Harrisons (Handling Solutions - Industrial business) and 31 New Town Road is close to the A1123. 31 New Town Road is also considerably further away from the site than the other two, raising questions as to why another closer location was not chosen.

Monitoring should have been undertaken at Parkes Farm and substantially more should have been done to engage the owner of the property to explain why it would have been beneficial to do noise monitoring there.

The parish council also have great concern about the impact the wind turbines would have on a child with Asperger's syndrome (high functioning autism) at the closest occupied property to the site, the HLA letter states:

"REG Windpower is working with ECDC and liaising with the parents of the nearby resident in question regarding this issue."

The parents of this child state that they have only had a single meeting with a representative from REG and ECDC on 17th February 2015. We must stress that it is not just noise that is a concern for this child, but also the visual impact and the overall impact on health and well-being.

The issue of children with Asperger's syndrome and wind turbines is well documented, with planning permissions being refused on this basis alone and is a 'key' reason for refusing this development

